UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,123	02/27/2006	Hugo De Winter	Q93468	3039
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 01/20/201 ON. PLLC	0	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			HAUTH, GALEN H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of About any and	10/595,123	DE WINTER ET	AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GALEN HAUTH	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on			
after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:			
/Christina Johnson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to